

Name: Mary Lambert

Address: [REDACTED]

Telephone Number: [REDACTED]

I object to this licensing application on the grounds of public nuisance.

Increase in Noise

The rugby club is situated in a quiet residential area. It is inappropriate for that residential area to have to suffer extra noise late in the evening, whether from increased traffic or from music or from people as a result of a licence being granted and events being held here.

Difficult Access to Rugby Club venue

The rugby club venue is at the end of a very narrow access lane. This narrow access lane itself is on a narrow lane, the Linney. The Linney has blind corners and a difficult access at both ends. At one end, the Tesco end, it is a blind turning right, hence not permitted, and one can only turn left. At the other end, a T junction at Dineham Bridge, a line of permitted parked cars alongside the Millennium Green just before the junction also make that stretch of road often single carriageway and hence difficult to navigate. In my view a reason not to increase traffic significantly beyond the current usage.

Increase in Lighting

There is likely to be extra lighting as a result of events being held here, whether floodlighting or other lighting. This would be intrusive and a nuisance to the residential area in which the rugby club is situated.

Further point

My understanding is that the Tennis Club is not allowed to have its floodlights on after 10pm, nor play tennis after 10pm, out of consideration for its residential neighbours. Another words the purpose of these restrictions is to keep the public nuisance of noise and light to a minimum after the 10pm 'curfew'.

Surely it is reasonable to expect that the rugby club should adhere to these same restrictions so as not to be a public nuisance to its nearby neighbours?

Mary Lambert

18 January 2023